

Romanowski L., Wałęcka J. Restoration of function in paralysed elbow. *Issue Rehabil. Orthop. Neurophysiol. Sport Promot.* 2016; 15: 25–30.

#### RESTORATION OF FUNCTION IN PARALYSED ELBOW

Leszek Romanowski

Joanna Wałęcka

Department and Clinic of Orthopaedics, Traumatology and Hand Surgery, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

#### SUMMARY

Paralysed elbow results from lack of muscles function responsible for the movements of elbow joint. The aim of the paper was to review methods of paralysed elbow evaluation and treatment basing on the own experience and data from literature on the topic. Preoperative diagnosis is based on history of the injury and on coexisting congenital disorders, patient's physical status, results of clinical examination. What is more, the additional diagnostic tests must be included (for example X-rays, CT scans, MRIscans or electromiography) performed before the treatment. The currently available operative techniques for paralysed elbow are the direct nerve reconstruction or secondary reconstructive surgery such as the nerve transfer and muscle tendon transfers. Open or arthroscopic arthrolysis in the elbow contraction operative treatment is considered.

**Keywords:** elbow paralysis, muscle transfer, nerve transfer, nerve reconstruction

*Date received: February 2, 2016*

*Date accepted: February 22, 2016*

#### ODTWORZENIE FUNKCJI W NIEDOWŁADACH ŁOKCIA

Leszek Romanowski

Joanna Wałęcka

Katedra i Klinika Traumatologii, Ortopedii i Chirurgii Ręki, Uniwersytet Medyczny im. Karola Marcinkowskiego w Poznaniu, Polska

#### STRESZCZENIE

Porażony łokieć jest objawem braku funkcji mięśni odpowiedzialnych za ruch w stawie łokciowym. Celem tego doniesienia było przedstawienie metod oceny funkcji, diagnostyki oraz leczenia porażonego łokcia w oparciu o własne doświadczenia jak i dostępne dane literatury światowej w temacie. Diagnoza przedoperacyjna oparta jest na danych odnośnie uszkodzenia i ewentualnych współistniejących chorób wrodzonych, aktualnego stanu zdrowia chorego jak rezultatów badań klinicznych. Ponadto należy uwzględnić wyniki dodatkowych testów diagnostycznych (na przykład wyniki badań rentgenowskich, tomografii komputerowej, rezonansu magnetycznego lub badań elektromiograficznych) przeprowadzonych przed podjęciem leczenia. Dostępnymi obecnie sposobami leczenia operacyjnego porażonego łokcia są bezpośrednie rekonstrukcje nerwów lub wtórne zabiegi rekonstrukcji chirurgicznej takie jak przeniesienia nerwów lub przeniesienia ścięgien mięśni. Otwarta lub artroskopowa artroliza w leczeniu operacyjnym przykurczu łokcia jest również brana pod uwagę.

**Słowa kluczowe:** porażenie łokcia, przeniesienie mięśnia, przeniesienie nerwu, rekonstrukcja nerwu

*Data otrzymania: 2 luty, 2016*

*Data zaakceptowania: 12 luty, 2016*

## Introduction

Paralysed elbow results from lack of muscles function responsible for the movements of elbow joint. This in turn may be caused by the nerve or muscle injury or may be the result of other causes of so-called pseudo-paralysis (Vekris and Soucacos 2001). Among causes of pseudo-paralysis of the elbow are its severe pain, changes in the joint (traumatic disorder of joint congruence, soft tissue contracture) and mental disorders. However, the most common cause is the nerve damage in the mechanism of perinatal brachial plexus palsy or the traumatic brachial plexus injury. Elbow movement disorders are accompanied by lack of sensory perception and autonomic dysfunction.

## Aim

The aim of the paper was to review methods of paralysed elbow evaluation and treatment basing on the own experience and data from literature on the topic.

## Material and methods

Diagnosis is based on patient's medical history and physical examination. Additional diagnostic tests are needed to plan the future treatment. Nerve injury can be revealed as lack of the innervated muscle contraction or even, in more advanced cases, in lack of the muscle tension. Most often the high-energy trauma to the injured elbow is confirmed in the examination of the patient. The Lovett's scale is used during examination for quantification of muscle

strength. In most cases of muscles in paralytic elbow, the score is 0 points. Moreover, it is confirmed that even if the score is 1 or 2 points, the paralysis of elbow muscles can be confirmed. It is important to examine the sensory disturbances of the areas, which correspond to the injured innervation. The site of the potential nerve damage is indirectly defined by Tinel's signs evaluation. It is advisable to perform additional tests such as electroneurography, electromyography, ultrasound imaging and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) when required. Electrodiagnostic evaluation may be helpful in diagnosing of the root avulsion, which may be excluded when the sensory nerve action potential and normal conduction velocity are detected (Vekris and Soucacos 2001). It is also worth to consider an arteriogram in case of, for example, vessel injury, vessel reconstruction with a vein graft.

## Results

Treatment and results depend on the location and degree of the nerve injury. The degree of nerve injury is defined by the Seddon's and Sunderland's classification (Seddon 1943), the first being simple and sufficient in clinical practice (Sunderland 1951). Information as to the degrees of nerve injury allows planning the patient's observation or surgical treatment. The patient and the family must have been clarified with reference to the treatment plan, which will be helpful in the recovery and function restoration.

**Table 1.** Rate of the nerves recovery pattern

Type of injury	Recovery pattern	Rate of recovery	Surgical procedure
Neurapraxia I°	complete	fast (spontaneously) several weeks	none
Axonothmesis II°	complete	slow 1–2 mm/day	none
Neurothmesis III°	none	no recovery	surgical repair

## Discussion

Surgical treatment of nerve injury involves the direct nerve repair end-to-end. Another possibility is to use the free cable grafts for nerve reconstruction when there is tension on anastomosis, nerve discontinuity, or post-ganglionic neuroma. The most common procedure is to use the sural nerve graft. In cases of irreversible nerve ruptures, non-anatomical reconstruction must be considered. The literature provides descriptions of operative techniques in cases in which the above reconstructive procedures are expected to be ineffective (Shin *et al.* 2004; Weber 2004; Carlsen *et al.* 2009). One of the operative techniques described is the nerve transfer, which consists of a transfer of the intact nerve branch to the more important sensory or motor nerve that has sustained the irreparable proximal damage (Bhandari *et al.*, 2008; Weber 2004). For example, one of the motor branches of triceps muscle can be transferred on the musculocutaneous nerve, or C7 root from the opposite side can be used to rebuild of irreversibly damaged part of the brachial plexus (Shin *et al.* 2004; Vekris 2001). The study of Tu *et al.* (2014) compared the operative techniques of total and hemicontrolateral C7 nerve root transfer. The authors underlined the possible risk of donor site complications. Elbow flexion can also be achieved with the,intercostal nerve transfer, medial pectoral nerve, phrenic nerve, thoracodorsal nerve, spinal accessory nerve (Dai *et al.* 1980, Allieu *et al.* 1988, Gu *et al.* 1989, Chuang *et al.* 1992, Samardzic *et al.* 2002). Another operative technique was introduced by Oberlin *et al.* (1994) in which a part of ulnar nerve is transferred to the biceps motor branch. In the postoperative regime after the nerve transfer it is important to perform electrostimulation procedures to the affected muscles or nerves and to maintain the full passive range of elbow motion. Another option is the intercostal nerve transfer, which is a valuable procedure when the intraplexus donors do not

present the nerve impulses transmission (Lykissas *et al.* 2013). After this procedure, the results can be varied (Sedel *et al.* 1982, Friedman *et al.* 1990, Songcheroen 1998).

If it is impossible to restore the function of the elbow muscles due to ineffective treatment of the injured nerves or muscle dysfunction, the surgeon should consider the muscle transfer from a nearby muscle or a free vascularized muscle transfer. Most often used muscle transfers are pectoralis major, latissimus dorsi, part of the deltoid or proximal attachment of the finger flexor from medial epicondyle (Humphreys and Mackinnon 2003, Gohritz *et al.* 2008, Verkis *et al.* 2008).

In the postoperative period, the immobilization regime is required. Kumar *et al.* (2014) reported that the multiple muscle transfer such as transfer of trapezius, pectoralis major, latissimus dorsi muscles and Steindler procedure allowed to restore 110 degrees of the elbow's flexion. These authors also suggested the use of those procedures in older patients. An example of transposition of local muscle is the triceps to biceps transfer. In study of Rühmann *et al.* (2002), the patients after above transfer achieved 109 degrees of the elbow's flexion.

Weber *et al.* (2004) claimed that the nerve transfer has major advantages over a tendon transfer such as the capacity for restoring the sensory function in addition to a motor function, multiple muscle groups can be restored with a single nerve transfer, the original muscle function and tension is maintained when their attachments are not disrupted.

Joint damage itself can also be the reason for a limited range of elbow movement. A diagnosis where is the most common cause of elbow injury is based on the clinical examination. Sometimes, the patient has no changes the in brachial plexus or spinal cord nerve impulses transmission, but there can exists a limited active and passive range of elbow movement. Noteworthy is a proper tension of the muscles responsible for elbow

movements. X-ray images in classic projections and computer tomography should be performed in cases of patients presenting such dysfunctions. Both examinations will confirm changes in bones, which might explain the elbow contracture. The limitation may also result from the soft tissue contracture. In this case, the palpation of tightened muscles surrounding the elbow and elbow ultrasound imagining are worth considering. In most cases, the changes in the bone and soft tissue contractures occur together. Surgical treatment consists of releasing the contracture, removing soft tissue and blocking bone fragments. As surgical technology advanced in the past years towards the arthroscopic arthrolysis and it was proved as to be safer than open technique (Wu *et al.* 2015). In the early postoperative rehabilitation, the patient's regime should be turned towards the exercising passive and active elbow range of movement. It is important to obtain a satisfactory intraoperative elbow movement. Very useful is the use of equipment for the continuous passive motion of the elbow. The surgeon and the physiotherapist should be constantly in touch. Also, it is crucial to show the achieved range of elbow motion to the patient immediately after the surgery. Moreover, if the surgeon suspects that the elbow paralysis is joined to the mental disorder, consultations with a psychologist or a psychiatrist must be considered (Vargas-Prada and Coggon 2015). The patient's family should also be informed about the surgeon's suspicions. The patient might be treated with the regional anaesthesia and/or muscle electrostimulation, which might show the functional recovery of the patient's elbow. As a result, the patient may subconsciously return to the health by means of normal elbow function and the lucky surgeon does not have to perform the extensive surgery.

### **Conclusions**

In conclusion, it seems that multiple surgical approach is the most useful in treatment of the paralyzed elbow. It is also very important that the patient with paralyzed elbow should be treated in the multidisciplinary center with the experienced surgeons and physiotherapists.

## REFERENCES

- Allieu Y., Cenac A.P.** *Neurotization via the spinal accessory nerve in complete paralysis due to multiple avulsion injuries of the brachial plexus.* Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research 1988; 237: 67–74.
- Bhandari P.S., Sadhotra L.P., Bhargava P., Bath A.S., Mukherjee M.K., Bhatti T.S., Maurya S.** *Multiple nerve transfers for the reanimation of shoulder and elbow functions in irreparable C5, C6 and upper truncal lesions of the brachial plexus.* Indian Journal of Neurotrauma 2008; 5, 2: 95–104.
- Carlsen B.T., Bishop A.T., Shin A.Y.** *Late Reconstruction for Brachial Plexus Injury.* Neurosurgery Clinics of North America 2009; 20, 1: 51–64.
- Chuang D.C.C., Yeh M.C., Wei F.C.** *Intercostal nerve transfer of the musculocutaneous nerve in avulsed brachial plexus injuries.* Journal of Hand Surgery 1992; 17A: 822–8.
- Dai S.-Y., Lin D.-X., Han Z., Zhou S.-Z.** *Transfer of thoracodorsal nerve to musculocutaneous or axillary nerve in old traumatic injury.* Journal of Hand Surgery 1990; 15: 36–7.
- Friedman A.H., Nunley J.A., Goldner R.D., Oakes W.J., Goldner J.L., Urbaniak J.R.** *Nerve transposition for the restoration of elbow flexion following brachial plexus avulsion injuries.* Journal of Neurosurgery 1990; 72: 59–64.
- Gohritz A., Fridén J., Spies M., Herold C., Guggenheim M., Knobloch K., Vogt P.M.** *Nerve and muscle transfer surgery to restore paralyzed elbow function.* Der Unfallchirurg 2008; 111, 2:85–101.
- Gu Y.D., Wu M.M., Zheng Y.L., et al.** *Phrenic nerve transfer to treat root avulsion of brachial plexus.* Clin Hand Surg 1989; 5: 1–3.
- Humphreys D.B., Mackinnon S.E.** *Nerve Transfers.* Operative Techniques in Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery 2003; 9, 3: 89–99.
- Kumar K.K., Doi K., Hattori Y., Sakamoto S.** *Reconstruction of shoulder and elbow function using multiple muscle transfers for cervical spondylotic amyotrophy.* Spine 2014; 39, 21:1269–1275.
- Lykissas M.G., Kostas-Agnantis I.P., Korompilias A.V., Vekris M.D., Beris A.E.** *Use of intercostal nerves for different target neurotization in brachial plexus reconstruction.* World Journal of Orthopaedics 2013; 4, 3: 107–111.
- Oberlin C., Beal D., Leechavengvongs S., Salon A., Dauge M.C., Sarcy J.J.** *Nerve transfers to biceps muscle using part of ulnar nerve for C5–C6 avulsion of the brachial plexus; anatomical study and report of four cases.* Journal of Hand Surgery. American Volume 1994; 19A: 232–273.
- Rühmann O., Schmolke S., Gossé F., Wirth C.J.** *Transposition of local muscles to restore elbow flexion in brachial plexus palsy.* Injury 2002; 33, 7:597–609.
- Samardzic M., Grujicic D., Rasulic L., Bacetic D.** *Transfer of the medial pectoral nerve: Myth or reality?* Neurosurgery 2002; 50: 1277–1282.
- Seddon H.J.** *Three types of nerve injury.* Brain 1943; 66: 237.
- Sedel L.** *The results of surgical repair of brachial plexus injuries.* Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery. British Volume 1982; 64: 54–66.
- Shin A.Y., Spinner R.J., Bishop A.T.** *Nerve Transfers for Brachial Plexus Injuries.* Operative Techniques in Orthopaedics 2004; 14: 199–212.
- Songcharoen P.** *Neurotization in the treatment of brachial plexus injuries.* In: Omer GE Jr, Spinner M, Van Beek LA, (Eds.). Management of Peripheral Nerve Problems. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co., 1998: 459–464.
- Sunderland S.** *A classification of peripheral nerve injuries producing loss of function.* Brain 1951; 74: 491.
- Tu Y.K., Tsai Y.J., Chang Ch., Su F.C., Hsiao C.K., Tan J.S.** *Surgical treatment for total root avulsion type brachial plexus injuries by neurotization: a prospective comparison study between total and hemicentralateral C7 nerve root transfer.* Microsurgery 2014; 34: 91–101.

**Weber R.V., Mackinnon S.E.** *Nerve transfers in the upper.* Journal of Hand Surgery. American Volume 2004; 4, 3: 200–213.

**Wu X., Wang H., Meng C., Yang S., Duan D., Xu W., Liu X., Tang M., Zhao J.** *Outcomes of arthroscopic arthrolysis for the post-traumatic elbow stiffness.* Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 2015; 23, 9: 2715–2720.

**Vargas-Prada S., Coggon D.** *Psychological and psychosocial determinants of musculoskeletal pain and associated disability.* Best Practice and Research Clinical Rheumatology 2015; 29, 3: 374–390.

**Vekris M.D., Soucacos P.N.R.** *Post-traumatic brachial plexus paralysis: current management of reconstruction.* Orthopaedics and Trauma 2001; 15, 1: 76–83.

*Authors reported no source of funding.  
Authors declared no conflict of interest.*

*Author responsible for correspondence:  
Leszek Romanowski  
Department and Clinic of Orthopaedics, Traumatology and Hand Surgery  
Poznan University of medical Sciences*

*28 Czerwca 1956 No 135/147  
61-545 Poznan, Poland  
romanowski@data.pl*

*Autorzy nie zgłosili źródła finansowania.  
Autorzy nie deklarowali konfliktu interesów.*

*Autor odpowiedzialny za korespondencję:  
Leszek Romanowski  
Katedra i Klinika Traumatologii, Ortopedii i Chirurgii Ręki  
Uniwersytet Medyczny im. Karola Marcinkowskiego w Poznaniu*

*28 Czerwca 1956 No 135/147  
61-545 Poznań, Polska  
romanowski@data.pl*